

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: **Darren Shakib, et al.**

Serial No.: **10/761,160**

Group Art Unit: **2167**

Filed: **January 20, 2004**

Examiner: **Rayyan, Susan F**

Attorney Docket No.: **305335.01**

Confirmation Number: **3220**

Title: **INFREQUENT WORD INDEX FOR DOCUMENT INDEXES**

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

Sir:

Please enter the following amendment:

A. Introductory Comments

Based on the amendments and remarks that follow, reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

This amendment is responsive to the office action dated June 28, 2006.

In the office action, claims 1-11, 13-25, and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Kirsch et al., ('US 6070158' and Kirsch hereinafter). In addition, claims 12 and 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kirsch in view of Olstad et al., ('US 2002/0032772' and Olstad hereinafter).

In response to the office action, claims 13 and 27 have been currently amended. In light of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that the present claims are distinct, and therefore, patentable over the cited art. Claims 1, 12, 14-19, 22-23, and 25-26 have been amended solely to correct minor typographical errors and not for any reasons of patentability.

A listing of the amendments to the claims begins on page 3 of this response.

Remarks begin on page 10 of this response.